In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 17-1176V Filed: July 8, 2019 UNPUBLISHED

JENNIFER CLAYPOOL,

Petitioner,

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Joseph Alexander Vuckovich, Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, Washington, DC, for petitioner.

Daniel Anthony Principato, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS1

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On August 31, 2017, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") as a result of a Tetanus diphtheria acellular pertussis ("Tdap") vaccination she received on June 22, 2016. Petition at 1. On March 29, 2019, the

¹ The undersigned intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the Internet. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the respondent's proffer. ECF No. 47.

On June 4, 2019, petitioner filed an unopposed motion for attorneys' fees and costs. ECF No. 51.3 Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$27,055.10 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$802.57. Id. at 1. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that petitioner incurred no out-ofpocket expenses. *Id.* at 2. Thus, the total amount requested is \$27,857.67.

In light of all the facts and circumstances of this case, particularly including the history of expedited resolution within the Special Processing Unit, and mindful of respondent's response to the instant application, the undersigned finds upon review of the submitted billing records and based on the undersigned's experience evaluating fee applications in similar Vaccine Act claims that the overall amount sought for attorneys' fees and costs is reasonable. Thus, especially in the absence of any particularized objection from respondent, further analysis is not warranted. Special Masters have "wide latitude in determining the reasonableness of both attorneys' fees and costs." Hines v. HHS, 22 Cl. Ct. 750, 753 (Fed. Cl. 1991). Moreover, Special Masters are entitled to rely on their own experience and understanding of the issues raised. Wasson v. HHS, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 483 (Fed. Cl. 1991) aff'd in relevant part, 988 F.2d 131 (Fed.Cir.1993) (per curiam). J.B. v. HHS, No. 15-67V, 2016 WL 4046871 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. July 8, 2016) (addressing attorneys' fees and costs in the context of a history of attorneys' fees and costs awards in over 300 similarly situated SPU cases.)

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$27,857.67⁴ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Joseph Alexander Vuckovich. Petitioner requests check be forwarded to Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA 1605 Main Street, Suite 710, Sarasota Florida 34236.

charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.,

924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁴ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all

³ The motion was filed as an unopposed motion and specifically states that respondent has no objection to petitioner's request. ECF No. 51.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Nora Beth Dorsey</u>
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

⁵ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.